PATENT ctitioner's Docket No. IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE application of: Pierik, et al. Group No.: Unknown 10/813,206 pilication No.: Examiner: Unknown Filed: 03/30/04 For: OVERHEAD WORK AND MOLD STORAGE PLATFORM FOR PLASTIC INJECTION MOLDING MACHINE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 STATUS INQUIRY WARNING: Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001. 1. More than 22 months have passed since NEW APPLICATIONS the filing of this application on -No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application. ☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS the filing of a response on ___ No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office. □ APPEALED APPLICATION The Appeal Brief was filed on CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

□ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

(mandatory) Mailing Label No.

TRANSMISSION

facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)

Signature

01/31/06

Pamela R. Printz

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

•	
	(check and complete applicable items below)
	☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on
	A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on
□ ALLC	WED APPLICATIONS
the n	nailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on
	te the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.
NOTE: M.P.E.P. follows:	§ 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as
"NEW AF	PLICATION
"Currer of Form in addit the nor or her	In examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) on PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in PTOL-37 in every case of allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate it into a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate after for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an on, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within norths from receipt of form PTOL-37.
"Currer dockets	of examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner is of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates to didest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the add time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.
	ore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.
	ED APPLICATIONS
"Ameno two mo in order A posto will be o filing of the nee	ded applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within in this of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. and receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate d for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the rapetition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."
Reg. No.: 18,9	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER Charles R. Rutherford
	(type or print name of practitioner)

Dykema 39577 Woodward Avenue, Suite 300

P.O. Address

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

Customer No.: 26127

Tel. No.: (248)203-0862

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

	9	-1	3
--	---	----	---

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIO	N SERIAL NO. SIGNED TO GROU				
		ACTION BY TH APPLICANT'S I	E EXAMINER. RESPONSE TO	THE OFFICE	ACTION MAIL	.ED
APPĒAI	L NO					
	IS A					D INTERFER-
		DATE OF HEARIN	1		·	
		DECISION EXPEC	TED			

CERTIFICATE OF Applicant(s): Pierik, e	MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL (37 CF	'R 1.8)		ket No. 29-0001		
Application No. 10/813,206	Filing Date 03/30/04 FFB 0 3 2006 Unknown	٠	Customer No. 26127	Group Art Unit Unknown		
Invention: OVERHEAD WORK AND MOLD STORAGE PLATFORM FOR PLASTIC INJECTION MOLDING MACHINE						
·						
I hereby certify that t	his Status Inquiry; Return Postal Card (Identify type of corre	espondence)				
	ith the United States Postal Service with sufficient ponissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA					
	01/31/06 (Date)			(- <i>/</i> // -		
Pamela R. Printz (Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence) (Signature of Person Mailing) Correspondence)						
	(Signamic vy		ing correspondence)			
	Note: Each paper must have its own certificate	of mailing.				